7

REMARKS:

Sent By: MILLER THOMSON LLP;

We wish to thank the Examiner for the telephone interview on July 28, 2005 during which we discussed the rejection of Claims 1 to 20 as being anticipated by West et al. We reviewed West in some detail with the Examiner. The Examiner accepted our position that West does not describe a brake that is adjustably mounted to move into and out of locking contact with the arcuate surface 50 or the arcuate surface 40. We therefore request that the Examiner reconsider the rejection of Claims 1 to 20 of the application based on West. We have also amended Claim 7 of the application in response to the Section 112 objections.

Since this is a final action, we look forward to your early response and request that you fax it to us at (519) 576-2030 in addition to mailing it.

We thank you for your co-operation in this matter.

We respectfully submit that the application is now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Jul-29-05 16:29;

DARYL-W. SCHNURR

Daryl W. Schnurr Reg. No. 28,569

(519) 579-3661, Ext 326